Short Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project Information

Instructions for Completing

Part 1 – Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part 1 – Project and Sponsor Information

Name of Action or Project:

Riparian Buffer Restoration, Renwick Brook, Kendal at Ithaca

Project Location (describe, and attach a location map):

Kendal at Ithaca, 2230 N. Triphammer Rd, Ithaca, NY

Brief Description of Proposed Action:

The riparian buffer along Renwick Brook, an intermittent stream, was impaired by logging operations associated with the removal of ash trees infested with the Emerald Ash Borer. The proposed action restores the riparian buffer (20 feet either side of the top of the stream bank) by planting native shrubs and trees, such as those contained in the NYS DEC's buffer in the bag program.

Name of Applicant or Sponsor:	Telephone: 607-266-5308			
Tom Crossgrove, Facility Services Director, Kendal at Ithaca	ssgrove, Facility Services Director, Kendal at Ithaca E-Mail: tcross@kai.kendal.org			
Address:				
2230 N. Triphammer Rd				
City/PO:	PO: State: Zip Code:			
Ithaca	NY	14850		
1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, local law, ordinance, administrative rule, or regulation?				
If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and the environmental resources that may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to question 2.				
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any other government Agency? NO				
If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:		\checkmark		
3. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action?	1 acres			
b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?	0 acres			
c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?	107 acres			
4. Check all land uses that occur on, are adjoining or near the proposed action:				
🔲 Urban 🔲 Rural (non-agriculture) 🔲 Industrial 🔲 Commerci	ial 🔽 Residential (subur	ban)		
Forest Agriculture Aquatic I Other(Spe	ecify): Riparian			
Parkland				

5.		Is the proposed action,	NO	YES	N/A
		a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?		\checkmark	
		b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?		\checkmark	
				NO	YES
6.		Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural landscape?			\checkmark
7.		Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Area?		NO	YES
If	Y	es, identify:			
L					
8.		a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?		NO	YES
		b. Are public transportation services available at or near the site of the proposed action?			
		c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near the site of the proposed action?			\checkmark
9.		Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements?		NO	YES
If	th	he proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies:			
-					\checkmark
_					
10).	Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?		NO	YES
		If No, describe method for providing potable water:			
		,		\checkmark	
-					
11		Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?		NO	YES
		If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment:			
12	,	a. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or distric	+	NO	YES
which is listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, or that has been determined by the					
Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places?					
	aı			_	
		b. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for			\checkmark
		naeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory?			
13	3.	a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?		NO	YES
b . Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody?					
11	Y	es, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres:			
-					a t
-					

14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check all that apply:							
Shoreline 🖌 Forest 🗌 Agricultural/grasslands 🔲 Early mid-successional							
Wetland Urban Suburban							
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed by the State or							
Federal government as threatened or endangered?							
16. Is the project site located in the 100-year flood plan?		YES					
	\checkmark						
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources?		YES					
If Yes,							
a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties?							
b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)?							
If Yes, briefly describe:							
	NO	and the second					
18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that would result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g., retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)?		YES					
If Yes, explain the purpose and size of the impoundment:							
19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste	NO	YES					
management facility?							
If Yes, describe:							
20.Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste?		YES					
If Yes, describe:	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$						
I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE							
Applicant/sponsor/name: Tom Crossgrove Date: 9/7/2023							
Signature: Jom Configure							

PRINT FORM



New York State Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation

KATHY HOCHUL Governor Commissioner

ERIK KULLESEID

September 08, 2023

FREDERICK COWETT Planning Board Chair Village of Cayuga Heights, NY 836 Hanshaw Rd Ithaca, NY 14850

Re: OPRHP Restoration of riparian buffer along Renwick Brook, Kendal at Ithaca, Ithaca, NY 23PR07605

Dear FREDERICK COWETT:

Thank you for requesting the comments of the Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation (OPRHP). We have reviewed the project in accordance with the New York State Historic Preservation Act of 1980 (Section 14.09 of the New York Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation Law). These comments are those of the OPRHP and relate only to Historic/Cultural resources. They do not include potential environmental impacts to New York State Parkland that may be involved in or near your project. Such impacts must be considered as part of the environmental review of the project pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act (New York Environmental Conservation Law Article 8) and its implementing regulations (6 NYCRR Part 617).

Based upon this review, it is the opinion of OPRHP that no properties, including archaeological and/or historic resources, listed in or eligible for the New York State and National Registers of Historic Places will be impacted by this project.

If further correspondence is required regarding this project, please be sure to refer to the OPRHP Project Review (PR) number noted above.

Sincerely,

Same Mice

R. Daniel Mackay

Deputy Commissioner for Historic Preservation Division for Historic Preservation

rev: B. Russell